UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,408	08/03/2006	Fritz Gabriel Bauer	58074/M521	8370
	7590 07/11/2007 RKER & HALE, LLP		EXAMINER	
PO BOX 7068			FULLER, RODNE VEVAN	
PASADENA, C	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2862	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			σH			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique O	10/588,408	BAUER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney E. Fuller	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	uaust 2006					
_	s action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,20 and 25-28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d Pod	ney Fuller			
des the attached detailed office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive		ry Examiner			
			5/1			
Attachment(s)		` <i>\</i> \\	1/1			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		/*			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/3/06, 3/6/07.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract includes the term "means" in line 6. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 15-18 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roth (US 3,871,760).

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Roth discloses "an image window (Fig. 1, ref.# 1, 3) which is arranged in the recording beam path of the movie camera and having a

spacing window (Fig. 1, ref.# 9) which is arranged on a gripper platform (Fig. 1, ref.# 6), between whose mutually facing film planes a film channel or film gap is formed through which the movie film (Fig. 3, ref.# 19 is moved by means of a film transport mechanism (Fig. 1, ref.# 5; column 3, lines 33-34), wherein the gripper platform" and/or "the spacing window is supported on the image window (column 2, lines 44-47)."

Regarding claim 17, Roth discloses "wherein the gripper platform and/or the spacing window are/is supported on at least one contact surface of the image window via a plurality of projections which are in the form of spacers (Fig. 1, ref.# 10; column 2, lines 44-47)."

Regarding claim 18, Roth discloses "wherein the projections are corner points of a geometric figure." (column 3, lines 2-5)

Regarding claim, 21, Roth discloses "wherein the gripper platform (Fig. 1, ref.# 6) and/or the spacing window (Fig. 1, ref.# 9) are/is supported on the at least one contact surface of the image window (Fig. 1, ref.# 1, 3) via in each case one projection (Fig. 1, ref.# 10), which is preferably in the form of a web or is flat." (Figure 1 shows the gripper platform, the spacing window, the image window and the projection as being flat."

Regarding claim 22, Roth discloses "wherein the gripper platform (Fig. 1, ref.# 6) and/or the spacing window (Fig. 1, ref.# 9) are/is supported on the at least one contact surface of the image window (Fig. 1, ref.# 1, 3) via in each case at least two projections (Fig. 2, ref.# 10), which are arranged on each side of the gripper platform and/or of the spacing window (Fig. 2, ref.# 10 – shows projections on either side of spacing widow 9)."

Art Unit: 2851

Regarding claim 23, Roth discloses "wherein the projections (Fig. 1, ref.# 10) are supported on the film plane of the image window (Fig. 1, ref.# 1, 3)."

Regarding claim 24, Roth discloses "wherein the spacing window (Fig. 1, ref.# 9) is sprung (Fig. 1, ref.# 7) with respect to the gripper platform (Fig. 1, ref.# 6) which holds the spacing window."

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 19, 20 and 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Beauviala (US 4,744,651) discloses "a film guide for a movie camera having an image window which is arranged in the recording beam path of the movie camera and having a spacing window which is arranged on a gripper platform, between whose mutually facing film planes a film channel or film gap is formed through which the movie film is moved by a means of film transport mechanism."
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney E. Fuller whose telephone number is 571-272-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am 4:30pm.

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on 571-272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rodney E Fuller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

June 25, 2007